

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/647,489	09/29/2000	Hidetoshi Saito	216-415P	8093
2292 7:	590 09/09/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		SAVAGE, JASON L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/647,489	SAITO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason L Savage	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final-rejection-under-37-CFR-1-113-may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THE CONDITION OF T	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ch-places the application in-				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 C of extension and the corresponding arm the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 8/13/03. Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	•					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) $oxtime$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 9-13.	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	A.				
10.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment in the specificaiton and the claims that changes weighted average circle-based diameter to average circle-based diameter is a new issue. Furthermore the additional limitation that the metal oxide needles are single crystal is also a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 7. In view of the non-entry of the amendment, Applicant's arguments that the present invention is patentably distinct over the prior art because of the inclusion of single crystal needles is not commensurate in scope with the claims. The rejections set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 2/13/03, paper number 10 would still apply to the claims.